

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TYSON FOODS, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Case No. 05CV0329-GKF-PJC

**DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION *IN LIMINE* TO PROHIBIT ANY SUGGESTION BY
PLAINTIFFS THAT ANY TRADE ORGANIZATION SPEAKS FOR ANY DEFENDANT**

Plaintiffs' experts and lay witnesses, and Plaintiffs through their pleadings and filings, have indicated their intention to suggest that certain trade organizations have spoken or do speak for one, some, or all of Defendants. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully file this Joint Motion *in limine* to exclude references to or suggestions that trade organizations have spoken or are speaking for any Defendant.

SUMMARY FACTS

As set out in *Defendants' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Dismissing Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 10 Due to Lack of Defendant-Specific Causation and Dismissing Claims of Joint and Several Liability under Counts 4, 6 and 10*, Dkt. No. 2069, at 1-4 (May 18, 2009) ("Causation Motion"), Plaintiffs have made clear their intention to try this case on an industry-wide basis. *See e.g. Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment*, Dkt. No. 2062, at 24 (¶48), 29 (¶c), (May 18, 2009) (alleging erroneously that some of Plaintiffs' claims have been "confirmed by a multitude of sources, including...Defendants, Defendants' retained experts and Defendants' trade associations.")

In this regard, Plaintiffs’ evidence of causation and claims of injury turn on undifferentiated allegations of poultry litter application and injuries to waters in the IRW (and elsewhere), with no specific evidence tying any of these instances to any particular Defendant. *Id.* Plaintiffs’ contend, *inter alia*, that statements made by trade organizations – including The Poultry Federation and the U.S. Poultry & Egg Association – are statements adopted and authorized by and made on behalf of one, some, or all of Defendants, whether or not each Defendant was a member of the organization, adopted and authorized the statement, or was even aware of the statement. *See e.g.* Deposition of Benny McClure, August 15, 2007 at 101:13 – 102:1 (stating that Defendant George’s, Inc., had not been a member of The Poultry Federation “for years”).

Plaintiffs have not secured a defendant class. Therefore, each individual Defendant has a right to insist that any liability be proven by evidence specifically demonstrating each particular Defendant’s responsibility. *See, e.g., Doe v. Cassel*, 403 F.3d 986, 988 (8th Cir. 2005) (motion to dismiss properly granted as to an amended complaint for alleging collective misconduct and not differentiating acts and omissions between individual defendants). As to numerous points to be proved at trial, Plaintiffs must present evidence specific to each individual Defendant. Each Defendant is a separate corporate entity with different facilities, operations, and activities. Each Defendant contracts with different independent contract producers (“contract growers”), pursuant to different agreements and different business practices. Likewise, each Defendant maintains a separate status vis-à-vis any particular trade organization. Plaintiffs’ proof based upon trade organization activities does not apply to each company equally – not all Defendants were even members of any particular trade organization at the time of the organization’s subject activity.

See e.g. Deposition of Benny McClure, August 15, 2007 at 101:13 – 102:1 (stating that Defendant George’s, Inc., had not been a member of The Poultry Federation “for years”).

For these and other reasons, Plaintiffs’ generalized attribution of evidence, including evidence that trade organizations have spoken for or do speak for all Defendants, is insufficient to meet Plaintiffs’ burden to prove elements such as causation and injury against each Defendant individually. *See* Causation Mot. at 16-21 (setting out legal basis requiring Plaintiffs to show individualized proof as to each Defendant); *see also, e.g., Schneiderman v. United States*, 320 U.S. 118, 147, 63 S.Ct. 1333, 87 L.Ed. 1796 (1943) (Court’s noting that “The Government frankly concedes that ‘it is normally true *** that it is unsound to impute to an organization the views expressed in the writings of all its members, or to *impute such writings to each member* ***.’”) (emphasis added).

DISCUSSION

Because Plaintiffs bear the burden of proving their case as to each individual Defendant, it would be improper of them suggest that any statements of a trade organization are attributable to or binding upon all or any of Defendants. Unless it can be established that any statement of a non-party trade organization satisfies an exception to the rule prohibiting hearsay testimony, *see e.g.* FRE 802, and further was made with the authorization of and specifically on behalf of each of Defendants, the statements of a trade organization would be irrelevant under Rule 402 and, alternatively, would be unfairly prejudicial, confusing, and misleading under Rule 403.

I. Suggestions that Any Trade Organization Speaks for Any Defendant Are Irrelevant Under Federal Rule of Evidence 402

Rule 402 establishes the baseline rule that relevant evidence is generally admissible, while irrelevant evidence is always inadmissible. Fed. R. Evid. 402 (“Evidence which is not relevant is inadmissible.”). To the extent that previously admitted evidence has not already

established that a particular statement of a trade organization may be imputed to each Defendant, then generalized references to each and every Defendant collectively on that point necessarily invites the trier-of-fact to speculate as to the applicability of the statement to, and potentially the liability of, those Defendants not implicated by specific proof.

By way of illustration, a statement by letter from an employee of the trade organization The Poultry Federation – even if it meets an exception to the hearsay rule – surely is irrelevant if offered for purposes of establishing that the contents of the statement are authorized by, imputed to, and binding upon all of Defendants, particularly considering that not all of Defendants were even members of The Poultry Federation at the time the statement was made. *See e.g. Plaintiffs’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment*, Dkt. No. 2062, at 24 (¶48), 29 (¶c), (May 18, 2009) (alleging erroneously that some of Plaintiffs’ claims have been “confirmed by a multitude of sources, including...Defendants, Defendants’ retained experts and Defendants’ trade associations.”); *but cf.* Deposition of Benny McClure, August 15, 2007 at 101:13 – 102:1 (stating that Defendant George’s, Inc., had not been a member of The Poultry Federation “for years”).

II. Suggestions that Any Trade Organization Speaks for Any Defendant Would be Unfairly Prejudicial, Would Confuse the Evidence, and Would Mislead the Jury, and Thus Should be Excluded Under Federal Rule of Evidence 403

As set forth in the Causation Motion, Plaintiffs must prove causation against each individual Defendant. *See, e.g., McKellips v. St. Francis Hospital, Inc.*, 741 P.2d 467, 470 (Okla. 1987); *Woolard v. JLG Indus.*, 210 F.3d 1158, 1172 (10th Cir. 2000); *City of St. Louis v. Benjamin Moore & Co.*, 226 S.W.3d 110, 114 (Mo. 2007).

In all tort cases, the plaintiff must prove that *each defendant’s conduct was an actual cause*, also known as cause-in-fact, of the plaintiff’s injury: Any attempt to find liability absent actual causation is an attempt to connect the defendant with an injury or event that the defendant had nothing to do with. Mere logic and common sense dictates that there be some causal relationship between the defendant’s conduct and the injury or event for which damages are sought.

Id., at 113-14 (emphasis added); *see also Attorney General of Okla. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.*, 565 F.3d 769, 776-78 (10th Cir. 2009); *Wood v. Eli Lilly & Co.*, 38 F.3d 510, 512-13 (10th Cir. 1994) (finding Oklahoma has not and would not adopt alternative, collective or non-identification theories of liability); *Case v. Fibreboard Corp.*, 743 P.2d 1062, 1067 (Okla. 1987) (same). Meeting this burden requires proof against each Defendant individually, not all Defendants collectively, and certainly not all Defendants through the activities of a non-party trade organization.

The finder-of-fact will have to track carefully what proof, if any, has been submitted against each Defendant on each relevant point. Permitting Plaintiffs to present evidence in summary and collective fashion, particularly through proof of statements made by a non-party trade organization which may or may not be speaking with the authority or knowledge of all Defendants, would be improper. Plaintiffs must first establish, for any statement made by a trade organization, that each Defendant for whom the Plaintiffs seek attribution adopted the truth of and authorized the statement, *see e.g.* a Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(2), before suggesting that the organization was “speaking for” all Defendants in making the statement.

Courts facing similar circumstances have properly prevented a party from making generalized references aggregating similarly situated groups of defendants. *See, e.g., United States v. Edwards*, 159 F.3d 1117, 1127 (8th Cir. 1998) (holding that the district court was “appropriately cautious” in allowing clarification of “the number of people referred to by a plural pronoun, to negate any inference it might refer to all defendants.”). In *Smith v. Arthur Andersen*, 2005 WL 5976558, at *1 (D. Ariz. 2005), the district court granted a similar motion to prevent collective references to a group of insurance underwriter defendants. *See Motion at Smith v.*

Arthur Andersen, 2005 WL 2516854 (D. Ariz. Aug. 5, 2005) (motion to preclude reference to defendants as a group granted).

Any attempt by Plaintiffs to suggest a non-party trade organization, such as The Poultry Federation or U.S. Poultry & Egg Association, necessarily speaks for all Defendants – and effectively to circumvent Plaintiffs’ burden of proving each Defendant’s conduct proximately caused any claimed damages – would be improper and should be prohibited. Such a suggestion would be no more reliable than contending that a state bar association always speaks for all lawyers, whether or not the lawyers were all members of the association or had knowledge of the statement. The suggestion by Plaintiffs is not relevant and would constitute unfairly prejudicial, misleading, and confusing evidence that would substantially outweigh any slight probative value the suggestion might have.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, any suggestion that any trade organization has spoken for or does speak for all Defendants would unfairly characterize each Defendant with evidence attributable to only one, some, or even none of Defendants, thereby confusing the evidence, misleading the jury, and prejudicing all Defendants. Rather than face constant objections at trial, the better course is to require Plaintiffs *ex ante* to make no suggestion that any trade organization speaks for Defendants.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Vince Chadick

James M. Graves (OB #16657)
Woody Bassett (*appearing pro hac vice*)
Vince Chadick (OB #15981)
K.C. Dupps Tucker (*appearing pro hac vice*)
BASSETT LAW FIRM LLP
221 North College Avenue
P.O. Box 3618
Fayetteville, AR 72702-3618
(479) 521-9996
(479) 521-9600 Facsimile

-And-

Randall E. Rose (OB #7753)
George W. Owens
THE OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.
234 West 13th Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-0021
(918) 587-6111 Facsimile

**ATTORNEYS FOR GEORGE'S, INC. and
GEORGE'S FARMS, INC., and for purposes of this
Motion, for all defendants**

John H. Tucker, OBA #9110
Theresa Noble Hill, OBA #19119
Leslie Jane Southerland
Colin Hampton Tucker
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER &
GABLE, PLLC
100 W. Fifth Street, Suite 400 (74103-4287)
P.O. Box 21100
Tulsa, OK 74121-1100
Telephone: (918) 582-1173
Facsimile: (918) 592-3390

-and-

Terry Wayen West
THE WEST LAW FIRM

-and-

Delmar R. Ehrich
Bruce Jones
Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee
Todd P. Walker
Christopher H. Dolan
FAEGRE & BENSON LLP
2200 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 766-7000
Facsimile: (612) 766-1600

**ATTORNEYS FOR CARGILL, INC. and CARGILL
TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC**

Stephen L. Jantzen, OBA #16247
Patrick M. Ryan, OBA #7864
Paula M. Buchwald
RYAN, WHALEY, COLDIRON & SHANDY, P.C.
119 North Robinson
900 Robinson Renaissance
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
Telephone: (405) 239-6040
Facsimile: (405) 239-6766

-and-

Thomas C. Green, Esq.
Mark D. Hopson, Esq.
Jay T. Jorgensen, Esq.
Gordon D. Todd
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-1401
Telephone: (202) 736-8700
Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

-and-

Robert W. George
Vice President & Associate General Counsel
L. Bryan Burns
Timothy T. Jones
Tyson Foods, Inc.
2210 West Oaklawn Drive
Springdale, Ark. 72764
Telephone: (479) 290-4076
Facsimile: (479) 290-7967

-and-

Michael R. Bond
KUTAK ROCK LLP
Suite 400
234 East Millsap Road
Fayetteville, AR 72703-4099
Telephone: (479) 973-4200
Facsimile: (479) 973-0007

**ATTORNEYS FOR TYSON FOODS, INC.; TYSON
POULTRY, INC.; TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; and
COBB-VANTRESS, INC.**

A. Scott McDaniel, OBA # 16460
Nicole M. Longwell, OBA #18771
Philip D. Hixon, OBA #19121
Craig A. Mirkes, OBA #20783
McDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, PLLC
320 South Boston Avenue, Suite 700
Tulsa, OK 74103
Telephone: (918) 382-9200
Facsimile: (918) 382-9282

-and-

Sherry P. Bartley (*Appearing Pro Hac Vice*)
MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GAGES &
WOODYARD, P.L.L.C.
425 W. Capitol Avenue, Suite 1800
Little Rock, AR 72201
Telephone: (501) 688-8800

ATTORNEYS FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

Robert E. Sanders
E. Stephen Williams
YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A
2000 AmSouth Plaza
P.O. Box 23059
Jackson, MS 39225-3059
Telephone: (601) 948-6100
Facsimile: (601) 355-6136

-and-

Robert P. Redemann, OBA #7454
Lawrence W. Zeringue, OBA #9996
David C. Senger, OBA #18830
PERRIN, McGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BERRY &
TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.
P.O. Box 1710
Tulsa, OK 74101-1710
Telephone: (918) 382-1400
Facsimile: (918) 382-1499

**COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.
and CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.**

John R. Elrod, Esq.
Vicki Bronson, OBA #20574
P. Joshua Wisley
Bruce W. Freeman
D. Richard Funk
CONNER & WINTERS, LLP
211 East Dickson Street
Fayetteville, AR 72701
Telephone: (479) 582-5711
Facsimile: (479) 587-1426

ATTORNEYS FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 5th day of August, 2009, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General	fc_docket@oag.state.ok.us
Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General	kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us
J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General	trevor_hammons@oag.state.ok.us
Daniel P. Lennington, Assistant Attorney General	daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov
Melvin David Riggs	driggs@riggsabney.com
Joseph P. Lennart	jlennart@riggsabney.com
Richard T. Garren	rgarren@riggsabney.com
Sharon K. Weaver	sweaver@riggsabney.com
Robert Allen Nance	rnance@riggsabney.com
Dorothy Sharon Gentry	sgentry@riggsabney.com
David P. Page	dpage@riggsabney.com
Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis	
Louis W. Bullock	lbullock@mkblaw.net
Robert M. Blakemore	bblakemore@bullockblakemore.com
Bullock, Bullock & Blakemore	
Elizabeth C. Ward	lward@motleyrice.com
Frederick C. Baker	fbaker@motleyrice.com
William H. Narwold	bnarwold@motleyrice.com
Lee M. Heath	lheath@motleyrice.com
Elizabeth Claire Xidis	exidis@motleyrice.com
Ingrid L. Moll	imoll@motleyrice.com
Jonathan D. Orent	jorent@motleyrice.com
Michael G. Rousseau	mrousseau@motleyrice.com
Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick	ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com
Motley Rice, LLC	
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS, STATE OF OKLAHOMA	
Stephen L. Jantzen	sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com
Patrick M. Ryan	pryan@ryanwhaley.com
Paula M. Buchwald	pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com
Ryan, Whaley & Coldiron, P.C.	
Mark D. Hopson	mhopson@sidley.com
Jay Thomas Jorgensen	jjorgensen@sidley.com
Timothy K. Webster	twebster@sidley.com

Thomas C. Green
Gordon D. Todd
Sidley Austin LLP
Robert W. George
L. Bryan Burns
Michael Bond
Erin W. Thompson
Kutak Rock LLP

tcgreen@sidley.com
gtodd@sidley.com

robert.george@tyson.com
bryan.burns@tyson.com
michael.bond@kutakrock.com
erin.thompson@kutakrock.com

COUNSEL FOR TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC.; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

R. Thomas Lay
Kerr, Irvine, Rhodes & Ables

rtl@kiralaw.com

Jennifer S. Griffin
David Gregory Brown
Lathrop & Gage, L.C.

jgriffin@lathropgage.com

COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann
Lawrence W. Zeringue
David C. Senger
Perrine, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

rredemann@pmrlaw.net
lzingue@pmrlaw.net
dsenger@pmrlaw.net

Robert E. Sanders
E. Stephen Williams
Young Williams P.A.

rsanders@youngwilliams.com
steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

A. Scott McDaniel
Nicole Longwell
Philip Hixon
Craig A. Merkes
McDaniel, Hixon, Longwell & Acord, PLLC
Sherry P. Bartley

smcdaniel@mhla-law.com
nlongwell@mhla-law.com
phixon@mhla-law.com
cmerkes@mhla-law.com

Mitchell, Williams, Selig, Gates & Woodyard, PLLC
COUNSEL FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

sbartley@mwsgw.com

John R. Elrod
Vicki Bronson
P. Joshua Wisley
Bruce W. Freeman
D. Richard Funk
Conner & Winters, LLLP

jelrod@cwlaw.com
vbronson@cwlaw.com
jwisley@cwlaw.com
bfreeman@cwlaw.com
rfunk@cwlaw.com

COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

John H. Tucker
Colin H. Tucker
Theresa Noble Hill
Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, Tucker & Gable

jtuckercourts@rhodesokla.com
chtucker@rhodesokla.com
thillcourts@rhodesokla.com

Terry W. West
The West Law Firm

terry@thewestlawfirm.com

Delmar R. Ehrich
Bruce Jones
Krisann Kleibacker Lee
Todd P. Walker
Christopher H. Dolan
Faegre & Benson LLP

dehrich@faegre.com
bjones@faegre.com
kklee@faegre.com
twalker@faegre.com
cdolan@faegre.com

COUNSEL FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC

Michael D. Graves
D. Kenyon Williams, Jr.
Hall, Estill, Hardwick, Gable, Golden & Nelson

mgraves@hallestill.com
kwilliams@hallestill.com

COUNSEL FOR POULTRY GROWERS/ INTERESTED PARTIES/ POULTRY PARTNERS, INC.

Charles Moulton, Sr. Assistant Attorney General
Kendra Akin Jones, Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General

charles.moulton@arkansasag.gov
Kendra.Jones@arkansasag.gov

COUNSEL FOR STATE OF ARKANSAS AND THE ARKANSAS NATURAL RESOURCES COMMISSION

Richard Ford
LeAnne Burnett
Crowe & Dunlevy

richard.ford@crowedunlevy.com
leanne.burnett@crowedunlevy.com

COUNSEL FOR OKLAHOMA FARM BUREAU, INC.

Robin S. Conrad
National Chamber Litigation Center

rconrad@uschamber.com

Gary S. Chilton
Holladay, Chilton and Degiusti, PLLC

gchilton@hcdattorneys.com

COUNSEL FOR US CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND AMERICAN TORT REFORM ASSOCIATION

Mark Richard Mullins
McAfee & Taft

richard.mullins@mcafeetaft.com

**COUNSEL FOR TEXAS FARM BUREAU; TEXAS CATTLE FEEDERS
ASSOCIATION; TEXAS PORK PRODUCERS ASSOCIATION AND TEXAS
ASSOCIATION OF DAIRYMEN**

Mia Vahlberg
Gable Gotwals

mvahlberg@gablelaw.com

James T. Banks
Adam J. Siegel
Hogan & Hartson, LLP

jtbanks@hhlaw.com
ajsiegel@hhlaw.com

**COUNSEL FOR NATIONAL CHICKEN COUNCIL; POULTRY AND EGG
ASSOCIATION & NATIONAL TURKEY FEDERATION**

John D. Russell
Fellers, Snider, Blankenship, Bailey & Tippens, PC

jrussell@fellerssnider.com

William A. Waddell, Jr.
David E. Choate
Friday, Eldredge & Clark, LLP

waddell@fec.net
dchoate@fec.net

COUNSEL FOR ARKANSAS FARM BUREAU FEDERATION

Barry Greg Reynolds
Jessica E. Rainey
Titus, Hillis, Reynolds, Love, Dickman & McCalmon

reynolds@titushillis.com
jraine@titushillis.com

Nikaa Baugh Jordan
William S. Cox, III
Lightfoot, Franklin & White, LLC

njordan@lightfootlaw.com
wcox@lightfootlaw.com

**COUNSEL FOR AMERICAN FARM BUREAU AND NATIONAL CATTLEMEN'S
BEEF ASSOCIATION**

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

David Gregory Brown
Lathrop & Gage, LC
314 E. High Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101

Cary Silverman
Victor E. Schwartz
Shook, Hardy & Bacon LLP
600 14th St. NW. Ste. 800
Washington, DC 20005-2004

Dustin McDaniel
Justin Allen
Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock)
323 Center Street, Suite 200
Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

J.D. Strong
Secretary of the Environment
State of Oklahoma
3800 North Classen
Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Steven B. Randall
58185 County Road 658
Kansas, OK 74347

George R. Stubblefield
HC 66 Box 19-12
Proctor, OK 74457

/s/ Vince Chadick
Vince Chadick